





Blood 142 (2023) 5756-5758

The 65th ASH Annual Meeting Abstracts

ONLINE PUBLICATION ONLY

604.MOLECULAR PHARMACOLOGY AND DRUG RESISTANCE: MYELOID NEOPLASMS

The Diversity of FLT3-ITD and the Prognostic Impact in Adult Acute Myeloid Leukemia

Jingyi Yang¹, Shanlan Mo, PhD², Xudong Wei³, Xiaodong Lv, PhD³, Baijun Fang, MD³, Yanli Zhang, MD³, Jian Zhou, MD PhD³, Hu Zhou, MD³, Yuewen Fu, MD³, Quande Lin, PhD³, Delong Liu, MDPhD⁴, Yongping Song, MD^{3,5}, Qianfei Wang, PhD⁶, Keshu Zhou, MD⁷

¹Department of Hematology, The Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Henan Cancer Hospital, Zhengzhou, China

²CAS Key Laboratory of Quantitative Engineering Biology, Shenzhen Institute of Synthetic Biology, Shenzhen Institute of Advanced Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenzhen, China

³Department of Hematology, The Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Henan Cancer Hospital, Zhengzhou, China

⁴Westchester Medical Center, Valhalla, NY

⁵Department of Hematology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China

⁶Key Laboratory of Genomic and Precision Medicine, Beijing Institute of Genomics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

⁷ Department of Hematology, Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China

Introduction

FLT3 internal tandem duplication (ITD) is commonly detected in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and is known to confer poor prognosis. It has different insertion numbers, mutation burden, insertion sizes, insertion sites and insertion nucleotide sequences, showing complex diversity. However, the guideline of AML did not sufficiently consider the impact of the diversity of FLT3-ITD on treatment responses, and previous studies have not been consistent with the impact of FLT3-ITD diversity on clinical prognosis. In this study we examined the diversity of FLT3-ITD and correlated these parameters with clinical outcome in adult AML. In addition, we revealed the correlation between FLT3-ITD and drug resistance through evolutionary trajectories in primary resistant patients.

Methods

We included adult patients from 2016-2021 at Henan Cancer Hospital with newly diagnosed adult AML. next generation sequencing (NGS) was performed for all patients at diagnosis. The FLT3-ITD was also detected using conventional methods based on PCR, with a cut-off of 3% for positivity. The comparative analysis of NGS and PCR was done to validate the diversity of FLT3-ITD. Whole genome sequencing (WGS) data for multiple time points were used to analyze clonal evolution. Data were collected by chart review. The chi-squared or Fisher's exact test, Mann-Whitney U test, log-rank and Cox regression analyses were performed.

Results

Among 406 newly diagnosed adult AML patients, 24.4% (99/406) patients were detected with FLT3-ITD. The FLT3-ITD diversity was validated by NGS and PCR data from 90 FLT3-ITD positive patients. 61.1% (55/90) patients had single FLT3-ITD (sFLT3-ITD) (31M/24F, median age 46 at diagnosis, range 18-64), and 38.9% (35/90) patients carried multiple FLT3-ITDs (mFLT3-ITD) at the same time (23M/12F, median age 47 at diagnosis, range 19-64). A total of 161 FLT3-ITDs were detected, with a median mutation burden of 21.51% (0.71-93.21%) and a median insertion length of 36bp (9-189 bp). 80.20% of FLT3-ITD insertions were in the near-membrane region, and 45.54% of FLT3-ITD insertions contained exogenous nucleic acid sequences. Patients with mFLT3-ITD had a significantly lower CR rate than those with sFLT3-ITD (25.7% vs 60.0%, p=0.001), while the CR rate of patients with sFLT3-ITD was similar to patients without FLT3-ITD (60.0% vs 58.6%, p=0.521). In the setting of NPM1, the CR rate of patients with sFLT3-ITD+NPM1 ^{mut} improved significantly when compared to patients with sFLT3-ITD+NPM1 ^{wild}

(76.9% vs 44.8%, p=0.015). Unfortunately, the state of NPM1 had no impact on the CR rate of patients with mFLT3-ITD. After a median follow-up of 38.9 months, the median overall survival (OS) has not been reached for patients with a single FLT3-ITD, and the median OS for patients with multiple FLT3-ITDs was only 12.4 months (95 Cl, 3.8 to 20.9) (p=0.028). Multivariate analysis confirmed its prognostic significance on CR rate (HR 2.13, 95% Cl, 1.38-4.20, p=0.020) but not on OS. The mutation

ONLINE PUBLICATION ONLY

burden, insertion sizes, insertion sites and insertion nucleotide sequences of FLT3-ITD had no significant impact on the CR rate or OS.

To explore the clonal architecture of mFLT3-ITD, single cell DNA sequencing on one patient showed that respective FLT3-ITD variants were detected in completely separated cell populations. This suggested that mFLT3-ITD independently occurred in different subclone. WGS data of persistent primary resistant patients at multiple time points were used to analyze the evolutionary trajectory under chemotherapy. We observed complete separation of evolving trajectory of different FLT3-ITD variants over the course of chemo-treatment. Importantly, FLT3-ITD subclone of diagnosis evolved into the dominant clone at resistance.

Conclusion

In summary, by exploring the impact of the FLT3-ITD diversity on clinical prognosis and the evolutionary trajectory of mFLT3-ITD, the FLT3-ITD number may be a biomarker to refine the risk stratification and further identify adverse populations among FLT3-ITD positive AML patients.

Disclosures Liu: Beigene: Speakers Bureau; Rigel: Speakers Bureau.

Characteristics	All patients n=406	ITD- n=307	ITD+ n=99	Single ITD n=55	Multiple ITDs n=35	P.value
	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	
Age (years)						0.033
Median	45	45	47	46	47	
Range	18-65	18-65	18-64	18-64	19-64	
Gender						0.733
Male	206(50.7%)	150(48.9)	56(56.6)	31(56.4)	23(65.7)	
Female	200(49.3%)	157(51.1)	43(43.4)	24(43.6)	12(34.3)	
WBC(10^9/L)						0.010
Median	14.5	11.6	52.4	44.6	74.1	
Range	0.4-317.0	0.4-317.0	0.7-305.8	0.8-305.8	1.4-259.4	
Mutations						
NPM1	78(19.2)	37(12.1)	41(41.4)	26(47.3)	16 (45.7)	0.224
RUNX1	27(6.7)	18(5.9)	9(9.1)	7(12.7)	1 (2.9)	0.473
ASXL1	16(3.9)	13(4.2)	3(3.0)	3(5.5)	1 (2.9)	0.279
TP53	6(1.5)	4(1.3)	2(2.0)	2(3.6)	0(0)	0.519
Karyotype						0.709
Favorable	26(6.4)	25(8.1)	1(1.0)	1(1.8)	0(0)	
Intermediate	311(76.6)	228(74.3)	73(73.7)	47(85.5)	29(82.9)	
Adverse	41(10.1)	31(10.1)	21(21.2)	4(7.3)	3(8.6)	
Unknown	28(6.9)	23(7.5)	4(4.0)	3(5.5)	3(8.6)	
AML risk group						0.172
Low risk	53(13.1)	52(16.9)	1(1.0)	1(1.8)	0(0)	
Intermediate risk	247(60.8)	174(56.7)	83(83.3)	38(69.1)	28(80.0)	
High risk	82(20.2)	61(19.9)	10(10.1)	14(25.5)	4(11.4)	
Unknown	24(5.9)	20(6.5)	5(5.1)	2(3.6)	3(8.6)	
HSCT						0.541
No	284(70.0)	209(68.1)	65(65.7)	37(67.3)	23(65.7)	
Yes	122(30.0)	98(31.9)	34(34.3)	18(32.7)	12(34.30)	
First induction				. ,	10	0.001
CR	225(55.4)	180(58.6)	45(45.5)	33(60.0)	9(25.7)	
PR	64(15.8)	50(16.3)	14(14.1)	2(3.6)	9(25.7)	
NR	117(28.8)	77(25.1)	40(40.4)	20(36.4)	17(45.6)	

 Table 1. Clinical and demographic characteristics of patients grouped according to the number of FLT3-ITD and the relative level of mutation

WBC: white blood cell; HSCT: hematopoietic stem cell transplant; CR: complete response; PR: partial response; NR: no response; p value is given between Single ITD and Multiple ITDs.



Figure 1. The evolutionary trajectory of mFLT3-ITD during the course of chemo-resistance

Figure 1

https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2023-178989